

1
2
3
4
5
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
7
8

9 Joanna Devery,

No. CV-24-00025-TUC-AMM

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 Commissioner of Social Security
13 Administration,

14 Defendant.

15 On February 19, 2025, Magistrate Judge Eric J. Markovich issued a Report and
16 Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending this Court reverse and remand the decision of
17 the Commissioner of Social Security. (Doc. 30.) Judge Markovich notified the parties
18 they had seven (7) days to file any written objections to the proposed findings and
19 recommendation. (*Id.* at 41.) Neither party filed an objection, and the time to do so has
20 now passed.

21 A district court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is
22 not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *see also* 28
23 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (“A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those
24 portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which
25 objection is made.”). “[T]he court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on
26 the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b),
27 Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition.

28 ///

The Court has reviewed Judge Markovich's R&R, the parties' briefs, and the record. The Court finds no clear error and agrees with the findings and recommendation.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**. (Doc. 30.)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that decision by the Commissioner is **REVERSED** and the matter is **REMANDED** for further consideration. The Clerk of Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

Dated this 27th day of February, 2025.

Angela M. Martinez
Honorable Angela M. Martinez
United States District Judge